



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,056	10/018,056 03/05/2002		David R Horton	P07423US00/RFH	5428
881	7590	05/20/2003			
LARSON &		•	EXAMINER		
1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET SUITE 900				KRISHNAMURTHY, RAMESH	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3753	
				DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/018,056	HORTON, DAVID R					
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
71 1111 110 0 1 7 1	Ramesh Krishnamurthy	3753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 A</u>	pril 2002						
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) $1 - 13$ is/are pending in the application	1						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
	Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 11</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 March 2002</u> is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapprov	ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
application from the International Bure	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6.		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3753

This office action is responsive to communications including the preliminary amendment filed on 03/05/02 and communications filed 04/12/2002.

Claims 1 - 13 are pending.

- 1. The drawings are objected to (a) because of the defects listed on the attached PTO-948 form and (b) numbers in Fig.10 and other figures pertaining to various elements do not correspond to the corresponding description in the specification and (c) Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4 and 7 –9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Souza (US 3,822,720).

Souza ('720) discloses (Figs. 1-7) a non-return valve comprising:

A valve body (12) including a fluid passageway (13) having an inlet (14) and an outlet (15); and

A valve diaphragm (16, 16') in the form of a conical-shaped diaphragm having a collapsible aperture (26) located at one adjacent its apex which is oriented in a downstream flow direction (from (14) towards (15)) toward the high pressure side (15)

Art Unit: 3753

(under valve closed condition) of the valve, said diaphragm (16, 16') being connected across the fluid passageway (13) and being constructed of a resiliently flexible material (Col. 2, lines 55 - 57) wherein the diaphragm itself initiates closure of the collapsible aperture (26), said closure being further promoted by fluid on the high pressure side of the valve to thus prevent fluid flowing a reverse direction towards the inlet whereas application of pressure, exceeding atmospheric pressure and that on the high pressure side, to an inlet side of the diaphragm deflects the diaphragm (16, 16') to expose the aperture (26) and allow flow through the passageway (13) from the inlet (14) to the outlet (15) (Col. 3, lines 43 - 57).

Regarding claim 4, it is noted Figs. 6A – 6C disclose an arrangement comprising a series of non-return valves (31, 32) coupled to each other each of said non-return valve comprising:

A valve body (12) including a fluid passageway (13) having an inlet (14) and an outlet (15); and

A valve diaphragm (16, 16') in the form of a conical-shaped diaphragm having a collapsible aperture (26), said diaphragm (16, 16') being connected across the fluid passageway (13) and being constructed of a resiliently flexible material (Col. 2, lines 55 – 57) wherein the diaphragm itself initiates closure of the collapsible aperture (26), said closure being further promoted by fluid on the high pressure side of the valve to thus prevent fluid flowing a reverse direction towards the inlet whereas application of pressure, exceeding atmospheric pressure and that on the high pressure side, to an inlet side of the diaphragm deflects the diaphragm (16, 16') to expose the

Art Unit: 3753

aperture (26) and allow flow through the passageway (13) from the inlet (14) to the outlet (15) (Col. 3, lines 43 - 57).

Regarding claim 7, it is noted that each of the non-return valves has a diaphragm (16,16') that is generally conically shaped and has a collapsible aperture (26) located at its apex that is oriented in a downstream direction.

Regarding claims 8 and 9, it is noted that (fig. 2 and Col. 2, lines 53 – 58) that the membrane and valve body are formed integrally by molding with a plastic material.

Regarding claim 11, it is noted that the valve body (12) is designed to be sealably inserted into a flow line (33) as shown in Figs. 6A – 6C.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Souza (US 3, 822,720) as applied to claims 1, 4 and 7 –9 and 11 above and further in view of Scheffer (US 4,425,934)

The patent to Souza discloses the claimed invention with the exception of an explicit disclosure of retrofitting the valve body to an existing stem. However, Souza discloses that the valve body is configured to be sealably inserted into various flow lines.

Scheffer discloses a non-return valve (9) having an exit lip that is retrofitted to an existing valve stem (9) for the purpose of inflation of pneumatic tires in an effectively

Application/Control Number: 10/018,056

Art Unit: 3753

Page 5

reliable manner (Col. 1, lines 23 - 28). To retrofit the non-return valve of Souza it merely needs to be inserted into the flow line (5) so that the membrane (16, 16') sealingly engages the pin (8). The membrane (16, 16') of the non-return valve in Souza being flexible, it is therefore configured to retrofit an existing valve stem (8). Furthermore the non-return valve of Souza is made of one-piece and is inexpensive to manufacture (Col. 1, lines 25 - 27).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to retrofit the valve body of Souza to an existing valve stem as recognized by Scheffer for the purpose of inflation of pneumatic tires in an effectively reliable manner.

6. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Souza (US 3, 822,720) as applied to claims 1, 4 and 7 –9 and 11 above and further in view of Vest (3,903,942).

The patent to Souza discloses the claimed invention with the exception of disclosing a fluid nozzle to impose a pressure on the inlet side of the diaphragm. Souza clearly discloses that the valve body (12) is designed to be inserted into various flow lines.

Vest discloses a non-return valve (21) formed in a tank (10) wherein a nozzle (14) is inserted to apply pressure on the inlet side of valve (21) to open the valve for the purpose of safely delivering fluid to the tank.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,056

Art Unit: 3753

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have placed the valve body of Souza in the tank and to have used a nozzle for the purpose of safely delivering fluid into the tank.

7. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Souza (US 3, 822,720) as applied to claims 1, 4 and 7 –9 and 11 above and further in view of Taylor (US 3,485,419).

The patent to Souza discloses the claimed invention including two non-return valves that configured to be positioned in close proximity of each other in a co-axial arrangement but fails to disclose the non-return valves to be either nested at least partly within one another or abut or engage one another with their valve bodies in alignment.

Taylor discloses a dispensing arrangement wherein two non-return valves, each having a flexible body with an exit lip (20, 22) and arranged co-axially are configured (Fig. 2) to lie at least partially nested with in each other thereby having their respective valve bodies abutting each other. Such an arrangement clearly ensures reliable prevention of backflow.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided in Souza an arrangement of non-return valve wherein the valves are configured to lie at least partially nested with in each other thereby having their respective valve bodies abutting each other for the purpose of reliably preventing backflow as recognized by Taylor ('419).

8. Claims 12 and 13 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,056

Art Unit: 3753

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: The prior art of record neither shows nor teaches a combination for the claimed

membrane that is permeable in one direction only, the membrane comprising in

combination with other recited elements a panel or blanket of collapsible diaphragms

each including a collapsible aperture and being constructed of a resiliently flexible

material wherein each of the diaphragms itself effects closure of the aperture to prevent

fluid flowing a reverse direction whereas pressure imposed on an upstream side of the

membrane deflects one or more of the diaphragms to expose the corresponding

aperture to allow the fluid flow.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Hoffman discloses a check valve for use with inflators of game

balls. Raines discloses the use of dual co-axial check valves. Pekar discloses an inlet

check valve for pumps.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh Krishnamurthy whose telephone number is (703) 305 - 5295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30

AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mikado P. Buiz, can be reached on (703) 308 - 0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 – 9302 and for after-final communications, the fax phone number is (703) 872 9303.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 - 0861.

- 0001.

Ramesh Krishnamurthy

Examiner

Art Unit 3753

May 17, 2003

Page 7